## **REMARKS**

The Applicants have filed the present Amendment in reply to the outstanding Final Rejection of August 6, 2003 and the teleconference with the Examiner of June 4, 2004. The Applicants believe the Amendment to be fully responsive to the Final Rejection for the reasons set forth below.

1 :

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner requested the Applicants to furnish a drawing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.81. The Examiner first objected to Claim 1 because of the informalities recited in the Final Rejection. The Examiner further rejected Claims 2 and 4 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite. Lastly, the Examiner rejected Claims 2-4 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 103(a), as allegedly unpatentable over Otten, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,902,834) (hereinafter "Otten") in view of Lancaster, et al. (U.S. Patent 5,272,226) (hereinafter "Lancaster").

At the outset and before addressing the objections and rejections raised in the present Final Rejection, the Applicants have cancelled the Claims 1-4 without prejudice or disclaimer. The Applicants have further added new claims 5-46; Claims 5-12 directed to a fuel additive; Claims 13-30 directed to a process for producing a fuel additive; Claims 31-32 directed to a product by process; Claims 33-44 directed to a packet of fuel additives; and Claims 45-46 directed to a process of making a packet of fuel additives. The support for Claims 5-12 is found in the specification on pages 6-9 and 16, including tables 1, 2 and 9. The support for Claims 13-32 is found in the specification on pages 9-16. The

Applicants respectfully submit that no new subject matter has been entered via the amendments.

: : : :

With regard to the drawing, the Applicants have submitted herewith a drawing requested by the Examiner in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.81. Support for the drawing is found on page 6, lines 8-10 of the Application as filed. The Applicants respectfully submit that no new subject matter has been entered.

In traversing the objection of Claim 1, the Applicants respectfully submit that the objection is now moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 1. Consequently, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection of Claim 1.

In traversing the rejection of Claims 2 and 4 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 112, second paragraph, the Applicants respectfully submit that the objection is now moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 2 and 4. Consequently, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of Claims 2 and 4.

In traversing the rejection of Claims 2-4 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 103(a), the Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection is now moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 2-4. Consequently, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of Claims 2-4.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment amount due to **Deposit Account No.: 501844.** 

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants believe that this application is in condition for allowance and the Applicants henceforth respectfully solicit such allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference might expedite the allowance of this application, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to call the undersigned, Applicants' attorney, at the following telephone number (156) 746-8000.

Respectfully submitted,

Alexander G. Vodovozo

Registration No. 55,701

JASPAN SCHLESINGER HOFFMAN, LLP 300 Garden City Plaza – 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Garden City, New York 11530

AGV/SRV:bs